# Induced Minors of Graphs

# Tuukka Korhonen



#### Dagstuhl Seminar 25041: Solving Problems on Graphs: From Structure to Algorithms

## 20 January 2025

_				
	449	COT!	202	on
	nna -	NO I	<b>IIO</b> III	en.





- 1. Induced subgraph
  - vertex deletions



- 1. Induced subgraph
  - vertex deletions
- 2. Induced minor
  - vertex deletions
  - edge contractions



- 1. Induced subgraph
  - vertex deletions
- 2. Induced minor
  - vertex deletions
  - edge contractions
- 3. Minor
  - vertex deletions
  - edge contractions
  - edge deletions







- 1. Induced subgraph
  - vertex deletions
- 2. Induced minor
  - vertex deletions
  - edge contractions
- 3. Minor
  - vertex deletions
  - edge contractions
  - edge deletions

In this talk, all graphs are simple! (no self loops or parallel edges)





- 1. Induced subgraph
  - vertex deletions
- 2. Induced minor
  - vertex deletions
  - edge contractions
- 3. Minor
  - vertex deletions
  - edge contractions
  - edge deletions

In this talk, all graphs are simple! (no self loops or parallel edges)

(Contraction is defined so that it does not create self-loops or parallel edges)

## Motivation

• Theory of induced minors  $\Leftrightarrow$  dense generalization of the theory of graph minors?

## Motivation

• Theory of induced minors  $\Leftrightarrow$  dense generalization of the theory of graph minors?

# Which results from Graph Minors generalize to Induced Minors?

## Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2004)

Let C be a minor-closed class of graphs. There exists a finite set of graphs H, so that a graph G is in C if and only if G does not a graph from H as a minor.

## Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2004)

Let C be a minor-closed class of graphs. There exists a finite set of graphs H, so that a graph G is in C if and only if G does not a graph from H as a minor.

## Theorem (Thomas, 1985)

This is not true for induced minors. (The induced minor order contains an infinite antichain.)



## Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2004)

Let C be a minor-closed class of graphs. There exists a finite set of graphs H, so that a graph G is in C if and only if G does not a graph from H as a minor.

## Theorem (Thomas, 1985)

This is not true for induced minors. (The induced minor order contains an infinite antichain.)

(With planar graphs of pathwidth  $\leq$  4 and fvs  $\leq$  3)



## Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2004)

Let C be a minor-closed class of graphs. There exists a finite set of graphs H, so that a graph G is in C if and only if G does not a graph from H as a minor.

## Theorem (Thomas, 1985)

This is not true for induced minors. (The induced minor order contains an infinite antichain.)

(With planar graphs of pathwidth  $\leq$  4 and fvs  $\leq$  3)

## Theorem (Kratochvíl, 1991)

The class of string graphs is induced-minor-closed, but has an infinite number of minimal obstructions.





## Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2004)

Let C be a minor-closed class of graphs. There exists a finite set of graphs H, so that a graph G is in C if and only if G does not a graph from H as a minor.

## Theorem (Thomas, 1985)

This is not true for induced minors. (The induced minor order contains an infinite antichain.)

(With planar graphs of pathwidth  $\leq$  4 and fvs  $\leq$  3)

## Theorem (Kratochvíl, 1991)

The class of string graphs is induced-minor-closed, but has an infinite number of minimal obstructions.





Many special classes well-quasi-ordered by induced minors [Thomas, 1985], [Ding, 1998], [Lozin & Mayhill, 2011], [Fellows, Hermelin & Rosamond, 2012], [Lewchalermvongs, 2015], [Błasiok, Kamiński, Raymond & Trunck, 2019]

Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2012)

There is an algorithm that tests if *H* is a minor of *G* in  $f(H) \cdot |V(G)|^3$  time.

Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2012)

There is an algorithm that tests if *H* is a minor of *G* in  $f(H) \cdot |V(G)|^3$  time.

Theorem (Fellows, Kratochvíl, Middendorf & Pfeiffer, 1995)

There is a graph H so that testing if a given graph G contains H as an induced minor is NP-hard.



Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2012)

There is an algorithm that tests if *H* is a minor of *G* in  $f(H) \cdot |V(G)|^3$  time.

Theorem (Fellows, Kratochvíl, Middendorf & Pfeiffer, 1995)

There is a graph H so that testing if a given graph G contains H as an induced minor is NP-hard.



## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

There is a tree *T* so that testing if a given *n*-vertex graph *G* contains *T* as an induced minor is NP-hard. (And requires  $2^{\Omega(n/\log^3 n)}$  time assuming the ETH.)

Theorem (Robertson & Seymour, 1984-2012)

There is an algorithm that tests if *H* is a minor of *G* in  $f(H) \cdot |V(G)|^3$  time.

Theorem (Fellows, Kratochvíl, Middendorf & Pfeiffer, 1995)

There is a graph H so that testing if a given graph G contains H as an induced minor is NP-hard.



## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

There is a tree *T* so that testing if a given *n*-vertex graph *G* contains *T* as an induced minor is NP-hard. (And requires  $2^{\Omega(n/\log^3 n)}$  time assuming the ETH.)

Polynomial-time algorithms for special cases [Fellows, Kratochvíl, Middendorf & Pfeiffer, 1995], [Fiala, Kamiński, Paulusma, 2012], [van 't Hof, Kamiński, Paulusma, Szeider, Thilikos, 2012], [Golovach, Kratsch, Paulusma, 2013], [Belmonte, Golovach, Heggernes, van 't Hof, Kamiński, Paulusma, 2014], [Dallard, Dumas, Hilaire, Milanič, Perez, Trotignon, 2024], [Dallard, Dumas, Hilaire, Perez, 2025]

For a graph H, we can define graph classes by excluding H

- *H*-minor-free graphs
- H-induced-minor-free graphs

For a graph H, we can define graph classes by excluding H

- *H*-minor-free graphs
- H-induced-minor-free graphs

Example: C<sub>4</sub>-minor-free graphs



For a graph H, we can define graph classes by excluding H

- *H*-minor-free graphs
- H-induced-minor-free graphs
- Example:  $C_4$ -minor-free graphs
  - Every biconnected component is a triangle



For a graph H, we can define graph classes by excluding H

- *H*-minor-free graphs
- H-induced-minor-free graphs

Example:  $C_4$ -minor-free graphs

• Every biconnected component is a triangle

Example: C<sub>4</sub>-induced-minor-free graphs



For a graph H, we can define graph classes by excluding H

- *H*-minor-free graphs
- H-induced-minor-free graphs

Example:  $C_4$ -minor-free graphs

• Every biconnected component is a triangle

Example:  $C_4$ -induced-minor-free graphs = chordal graphs



For a graph H, we can define graph classes by excluding H

- *H*-minor-free graphs
- H-induced-minor-free graphs
- Example:  $C_4$ -minor-free graphs
  - Every biconnected component is a triangle

Example:  $C_4$ -induced-minor-free graphs = chordal graphs

Example:  $\dot{K}_5$ -induced-minor-free graphs

•  $\dot{K_5}$  is  $K_5$  with every edge subdivided once



For a graph H, we can define graph classes by excluding H

- *H*-minor-free graphs
- H-induced-minor-free graphs
- Example:  $C_4$ -minor-free graphs
  - Every biconnected component is a triangle
- Example:  $C_4$ -induced-minor-free graphs = chordal graphs

- Example:  $\dot{K}_5$ -induced-minor-free graphs
  - $\mathbf{K}_5$  is  $K_5$  with every edge subdivided once
  - $\Rightarrow$  Superclass of the string graphs



H-minor-free and H-induced-minor-free: Two important cases

1. H is planar

- 1. *H* is planar
  - ► H-minor-free ⇔ bounded treewidth (The Grid Minor Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 1986])

- 1. *H* is planar
  - ► H-minor-free ⇔ bounded treewidth (The Grid Minor Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 1986])
  - Are H-induced-minor-free graphs "tree-like"?

- 1. *H* is planar
  - ► H-minor-free ⇔ bounded treewidth (The Grid Minor Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 1986])
  - Are H-induced-minor-free graphs "tree-like"?
  - (quasi)Polynomial-time algorithms on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

- 1. *H* is planar
  - ► H-minor-free ⇔ bounded treewidth (The Grid Minor Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 1986])
  - Are H-induced-minor-free graphs "tree-like"?
  - (quasi)Polynomial-time algorithms on H-induced-minor-free graphs?
- 2. *H* is non-planar

## *H*-minor-free and *H*-induced-minor-free: Two important cases

- 1. *H* is planar
  - ► H-minor-free ⇔ bounded treewidth (The Grid Minor Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 1986])
  - Are H-induced-minor-free graphs "tree-like"?
  - (quasi)Polynomial-time algorithms on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

# 2. H is non-planar

► H-minor-free ≈ planar-like (The Structure Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 2003])

- 1. *H* is planar
  - ► H-minor-free ⇔ bounded treewidth (The Grid Minor Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 1986])
  - Are H-induced-minor-free graphs "tree-like"?
  - (quasi)Polynomial-time algorithms on H-induced-minor-free graphs?
- 2. *H* is non-planar
  - ► H-minor-free ≈ planar-like (The Structure Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 2003])
  - Are H-induced-minor-free graphs similar to string graphs?

## *H*-minor-free and *H*-induced-minor-free: Two important cases

# 1. *H* is planar

- ► H-minor-free ⇔ bounded treewidth (The Grid Minor Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 1986])
- Are H-induced-minor-free graphs "tree-like"?
- (quasi)Polynomial-time algorithms on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

# 2. H is non-planar

- ► H-minor-free ≈ planar-like (The Structure Theorem, [Robertson & Seymour, 2003])
- Are H-induced-minor-free graphs similar to string graphs?
- Subexponential-time algorithms/(quasi)Polynomial-time approximation schemes on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

Are *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for planar *H* "tree-like"?

Are *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for planar *H* "tree-like"?

Theorem (K., 2023)

If *G* excludes a planar graph *H* as an induced minor and has maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then the treewidth of *G* is at most  $\|H\|^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot 2^{\Delta^5}$ 

Are *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for planar *H* "tree-like"?

### Theorem (K., 2023)

If *G* excludes a planar graph *H* as an induced minor and has maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then the treewidth of *G* is at most  $||H||^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot 2^{\Delta^5}$ 

#### Conjecture (Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2021-2023)

There is a function f, so that if G excludes a planar graph H as an induced minor, then G has a balanced separator dominated by f(||H||) vertices.

Are *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for planar *H* "tree-like"?

## Theorem (K., 2023)

If *G* excludes a planar graph *H* as an induced minor and has maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then the treewidth of *G* is at most  $\|H\|^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot 2^{\Delta^5}$ 

#### Conjecture (Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2021-2023)

There is a function f, so that if G excludes a planar graph H as an induced minor, then G has a balanced separator dominated by f(||H||) vertices.

#### Corollary of the conjecture

There is a function f, so that if G excludes a planar graph H as an induced minor and has maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then the treewidth of G is at most  $f(||H||) \cdot \Delta$ 

Are *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for planar *H* "tree-like"?

## Theorem (K., 2023)

If *G* excludes a planar graph *H* as an induced minor and has maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then the treewidth of *G* is at most  $\|H\|^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot 2^{\Delta^5}$ 

#### Conjecture (Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2021-2023)

There is a function f, so that if G excludes a planar graph H as an induced minor, then G has a balanced separator dominated by f(||H||) vertices.

#### Corollary of the conjecture

There is a function f, so that if G excludes a planar graph H as an induced minor and has maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then the treewidth of G is at most  $f(||H||) \cdot \Delta$ 

Note:  $\Delta$  cannot be replaced by (much) stronger parameters! [Pohoata, 2014], [Sintiari & Trotignon, 2021], [Davies, 2022], [Bonamy, Bonnet, Déprés, Esperet, Geniet, Hilaire, Thomassé, Wesolek, 2024], [Abrishami, Alecu, Chudnovsky, Hajebi, Spirkl, 2024]

Excluding a planar graph: Algorithms

Excluding a planar graph: Algorithms

# Key problem: Maximum Independent Set

Open problem (Dallard, Milanič & Štorgel, Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2021) Is the Maximum Independent Set Problem (quasi)polynomial-time solvable on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for every fixed planar *H*?

Open problem (Dallard, Milanič & Štorgel, Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2021) Is the Maximum Independent Set Problem (quasi)polynomial-time solvable on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for every fixed planar *H*?

• Solved for:  $H = P_k$  [Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2020],  $H = C_k$  [Gartland, Lokshtanov, Pilipczuk, Pilipczuk & Rzążewski, 2021],  $H = W_4$ ,  $H = K_5^-$ , and  $H = K_{2,q}$  [Dallard, Milanič & Štorgel, 2021],  $H = K_1 + tK_2$  and  $H = tC_3 \uplus C_4$  [Bonnet, Duron, Geniet, Thomassé & Wesolek, 2023],  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -degree input graphs [K. 2023],  $H = tC_3$  [Bonamy, Bonnet, Déprés, Esperet, Geniet, Hilaire, Thomasse & Wesolek, 2024],  $H = tC_\ell$  and  $K_{1,k}$ -free [Ahn, Gollin, Huynh & Kwon, 2025]

Open problem (Dallard, Milanič & Štorgel, Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2021) Is the Maximum Independent Set Problem (quasi)polynomial-time solvable on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for every fixed planar *H*?

- Solved for:  $H = P_k$  [Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2020],  $H = C_k$  [Gartland, Lokshtanov, Pilipczuk, Pilipczuk & Rzążewski, 2021],  $H = W_4$ ,  $H = K_5^-$ , and  $H = K_{2,q}$  [Dallard, Milanič & Štorgel, 2021],  $H = K_1 + tK_2$  and  $H = tC_3 \uplus C_4$  [Bonnet, Duron, Geniet, Thomassé & Wesolek, 2023],  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -degree input graphs [K. 2023],  $H = tC_3$  [Bonamy, Bonnet, Déprés, Esperet, Geniet, Hilaire, Thomasse & Wesolek, 2024],  $H = tC_\ell$  and  $K_{1,k}$ -free [Ahn, Gollin, Huynh & Kwon, 2025]
- Open even when H is a tree

Open problem (Dallard, Milanič & Štorgel, Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2021) Is the Maximum Independent Set Problem (quasi)polynomial-time solvable on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs for every fixed planar *H*?

- Solved for:  $H = P_k$  [Gartland & Lokshtanov, 2020],  $H = C_k$  [Gartland, Lokshtanov, Pilipczuk, Pilipczuk & Rzążewski, 2021],  $H = W_4$ ,  $H = K_5^-$ , and  $H = K_{2,q}$  [Dallard, Milanič & Štorgel, 2021],  $H = K_1 + tK_2$  and  $H = tC_3 \uplus C_4$  [Bonnet, Duron, Geniet, Thomassé & Wesolek, 2023],  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -degree input graphs [K. 2023],  $H = tC_3$  [Bonamy, Bonnet, Déprés, Esperet, Geniet, Hilaire, Thomasse & Wesolek, 2024],  $H = tC_\ell$  and  $K_{1,k}$ -free [Ahn, Gollin, Huynh & Kwon, 2025]
- Open even when H is a tree
- The Gartland-Lokshtanov structure conjecture would imply a Quasipolynomial-time approximation scheme.

```
Theorem (Alon, Seymour & Thomas, 1990)
```

*H*-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ 

## Theorem (Alon, Seymour & Thomas, 1990)

*H*-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ 

## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

*H*-induced-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $\|H\|^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot \sqrt{m} \cdot \log n$ 

## Theorem (Alon, Seymour & Thomas, 1990)

*H*-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ 

## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

*H*-induced-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $\|H\|^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot \sqrt{m} \cdot \log n$ 

 $\Rightarrow 2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{2/3})}$  time algorithms for problems like Maximum Independent Set on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs

## Theorem (Alon, Seymour & Thomas, 1990)

*H*-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{O(1)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ 

## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

*H*-induced-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $\|H\|^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot \sqrt{m} \cdot \log n$ 

 $\Rightarrow 2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{2/3})}$  time algorithms for problems like Maximum Independent Set on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs

## Open problem

Can Maximum Independent Set be solved in  $2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{1/2})}$  time on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs?

## Theorem (Alon, Seymour & Thomas, 1990)

*H*-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{O(1)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ 

## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

*H*-induced-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $\|H\|^{\mathcal{O}(1)} \cdot \sqrt{m} \cdot \log n$ 

 $\Rightarrow 2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{2/3})}$  time algorithms for problems like Maximum Independent Set on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs

## Open problem

Can Maximum Independent Set be solved in  $2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{1/2})}$  time on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs?

#### **Open problem**

Can we shave the log *n* factor in the separator theorem?

## Theorem (Alon, Seymour & Thomas, 1990)

*H*-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{O(1)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ 

## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

*H*-induced-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{O(1)} \cdot \sqrt{m} \cdot \log n$ 

 $\Rightarrow 2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{2/3})}$  time algorithms for problems like Maximum Independent Set on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs

## Open problem

Can Maximum Independent Set be solved in  $2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{1/2})}$  time on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs?

## Open problem

Can we shave the log *n* factor in the separator theorem?

• Does the [Klein-Plotkin-Rao, 1993]-layering work on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

## Theorem (Alon, Seymour & Thomas, 1990)

*H*-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{O(1)} \cdot \sqrt{n}$ 

## Theorem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

*H*-induced-minor-free graphs have balanced separators of size  $||H||^{O(1)} \cdot \sqrt{m} \cdot \log n$ 

 $\Rightarrow 2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{2/3})}$  time algorithms for problems like Maximum Independent Set on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs

## Open problem

Can Maximum Independent Set be solved in  $2^{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{H}(n^{1/2})}$  time on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs?

## Open problem

Can we shave the log *n* factor in the separator theorem?

• Does the [Klein-Plotkin-Rao, 1993]-layering work on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

Claimed by [Lee, 2017] and [Bonnet, Hodor, K. & Masařík, 2023], but both have the same error

Excluding a non-planar graph: More open problems

# Excluding a non-planar graph: More open problems

Open problem (Lokshtanov)

Disprove: For every H there exists H', so that H-induced-minor-free graphs are intersection graphs of connected subgraphs of H'-minor-free graphs.

## Open problem (Lokshtanov)

Disprove: For every H there exists H', so that H-induced-minor-free graphs are intersection graphs of connected subgraphs of H'-minor-free graphs.

## Open problem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

Are there constants k and H so that Induced k-Disjoint Paths is NP-hard on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

## Open problem (Lokshtanov)

Disprove: For every H there exists H', so that H-induced-minor-free graphs are intersection graphs of connected subgraphs of H'-minor-free graphs.

## Open problem (K. & Lokshtanov, 2024)

Are there constants k and H so that Induced k-Disjoint Paths is NP-hard on H-induced-minor-free graphs?

• In other words, are dense models the only reason for hardness of *H*-induced-minor testing?

• Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors

- Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors
- Recently, positive results and good open problems about structure and algorithms for *H*-induced-minor-free graphs, for both planar and non-planar *H*

- Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors
- Recently, positive results and good open problems about structure and algorithms for *H*-induced-minor-free graphs, for both planar and non-planar *H*

Selected open problems:

- Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors
- Recently, positive results and good open problems about structure and algorithms for *H*-induced-minor-free graphs, for both planar and non-planar *H*
- Selected open problems:
  - 1. Treewidth of *H*-induced-minor-free graphs of maximum degree  $\Delta$  when *H* is planar: Improve bounds towards  $f(H) \cdot \Delta$

- Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors
- Recently, positive results and good open problems about structure and algorithms for *H*-induced-minor-free graphs, for both planar and non-planar *H*

## Selected open problems:

- 1. Treewidth of *H*-induced-minor-free graphs of maximum degree  $\Delta$  when *H* is planar: Improve bounds towards  $f(H) \cdot \Delta$
- 2. Does [Klein-Plotkin-Rao, 1993]-layering work for H-induced-minor-free graphs?

- Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors
- Recently, positive results and good open problems about structure and algorithms for *H*-induced-minor-free graphs, for both planar and non-planar *H*
- Selected open problems:
  - 1. Treewidth of *H*-induced-minor-free graphs of maximum degree  $\Delta$  when *H* is planar: Improve bounds towards  $f(H) \cdot \Delta$
  - 2. Does [Klein-Plotkin-Rao, 1993]-layering work for H-induced-minor-free graphs?
  - 3. Are there constants *k* and *H* so that induced *k*-disjoint paths is NP-hard on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs?

- Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors
- Recently, positive results and good open problems about structure and algorithms for *H*-induced-minor-free graphs, for both planar and non-planar *H*
- Selected open problems:
  - 1. Treewidth of *H*-induced-minor-free graphs of maximum degree  $\Delta$  when *H* is planar: Improve bounds towards  $f(H) \cdot \Delta$
  - 2. Does [Klein-Plotkin-Rao, 1993]-layering work for H-induced-minor-free graphs?
  - 3. Are there constants *k* and *H* so that induced *k*-disjoint paths is NP-hard on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs?
  - Is there a 2<sup>Õ(n<sup>1/2</sup>)</sup> time algorithm for Maximum Independent Set on string graphs when the intersection model is not given. (cf. [Marx, Pilipczuk, 2015])

- Early negative results on extending the results of graph minors to induced minors
- Recently, positive results and good open problems about structure and algorithms for *H*-induced-minor-free graphs, for both planar and non-planar *H*

## Selected open problems:

- 1. Treewidth of *H*-induced-minor-free graphs of maximum degree  $\Delta$  when *H* is planar: Improve bounds towards  $f(H) \cdot \Delta$
- 2. Does [Klein-Plotkin-Rao, 1993]-layering work for H-induced-minor-free graphs?
- 3. Are there constants *k* and *H* so that induced *k*-disjoint paths is NP-hard on *H*-induced-minor-free graphs?
- Is there a 2<sup>Õ(n<sup>1/2</sup>)</sup> time algorithm for Maximum Independent Set on string graphs when the intersection model is not given. (cf. [Marx, Pilipczuk, 2015])

# Thanks!